BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
3 1761 06102080 6

UNIVERSITY OF
TORONTO
FACULTY OF LAW

FOR STUDENT USE ONLY

Seminar Readings for

CORPORATIONS, INDIVIDUALS AND THE STATE

Fall 2006

c.v.1 I

Professor Lee
Faculty of Law, University of Toronto

Storage
K
1315
.L44
2006
[v.1]
c.1

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
SEP - 6 2006
FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

Seminar Readings for
Corporations, Individuals and the State
Fall 2006, Professor Lee, Volume I

Contents*

TOPIC 1: BASIC PRINCIPLES OF AND THEORETICAL APPROACHES TO CORPORATE LEGAL PERSONALITY **4**

Canada Business Corporations Act, ss. 5(1), 8(1), 9, 15 5
Interpretation Act, ss. 21(1), 33(1), 35(1) "person" 6
Salomon v. Salomon & Co. 7
Frank Easterbrook and Daniel Fischel, "The Corporate Contract" 14
Michael Jensen and William Meckling, "Theory of the Firm: Managerial Behavior, Agency Costs and Ownership Structure" 19
F.W. Maitland, "Moral Personality and Legal Personality" 22
Margaret Blair, "Locking in Capital: What Corporate Law Achieved for Business Organizers in the Nineteenth Century" 29

TOPIC 2: CORPORATE CRIMINAL RESPONSIBILITY: WHY DO WE PUNISH CORPORATIONS? **66**

An Act to amend the Criminal Code (criminal liability of organizations) 67
Canadian Dredge and Dock v. R. 70
Rhône (The) v. Peter A.B. Widener (The) 78
Daniel Fischel and Alan Sykes, "Corporate Crime" 88
Jennifer Quaid, "The Assessment of Corporate Criminal Liability on the Basis of Corporate Identity: An Analysis" 99

TOPIC 3: WHY SHOULD CORPORATIONS HAVE TO PAY TAX? **136**

Osler, Hoskin & Harcourt, "Introduction to Canada's Taxation System" 137
Reuven Avi-Yonah, "Corporations, Society, and the State: A Defense of the Corporate Tax" 138
Richard Bird, "Why Tax Corporations?" 159
Steven Bank, "A Capital Lock-In Theory of the Corporate Income Tax" 170

TOPIC 4: DO CORPORATIONS HAVE FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS? **181**

R. v. Big M Drug Mart Ltd. 182
Irwin Toy v. Quebec 185
R. v. Wholesale Travel 197
R. v. CIP Inc. 201
Canadian Egg Marketing Agency v. Richardson 210
Chris Tollefson, "Corporate Constitutional Rights and the Supreme Court of Canada" 215

* The assistance of Nadine Dechausay (JD 2006) in preparing these materials is gratefully acknowledged.

Amicus Brief of ReclaimDemocracy.org in support of Kasky, submitted in Nike v. Kasky (U.S.S.C.) 229

TOPIC 5: CAMPAIGN FINANCE: SHOULD CORPORATIONS BE ABLE TO PARTICIPATE IN THE POLITICAL PROCESS? 237

Harper v. Canada 238
Austin v. Michigan Chamber of Commerce 266